

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,932	08/27/2003		Satoshi Kitamura	SIC-03-027	1931
29863	7590	01/09/2004		EXAMINER	
DELAND L	AW OF	FICE		LUEBKE,	RENEE S
P.O. BOX 69 KLAMATH RIVER, CA 96050-0069				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2833	

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			IH_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/604,932	KITAMURA & ICH	ADIF				
, · Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Renee S. Luebke	2833					
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ac	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuse. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum tory period will apply and will expire SIX II, by statute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)	)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-25</u> is/are rejecte 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restricti	withdrawn from consideration						
Application Papers							
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 August 200 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to </li> </ul>	3 is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b ion to the drawing(s) be held in the correction is required if the d	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do the priority do the certified copies of the priority do the certified copies of application from the Internation application from the Internation to the the attached detailed Office action to the certified copies of application from the Internation to the the ternation to the since a specific reference was included to the foreign lang to the certified copies of the certified copies of a claim for since a specific reference was included to the foreign lang to the certified copies of the priority do the priority do the certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received ocuments have been received fithe priority documents have all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) for a list of the certified copie of domestic priority under 35 Unit the first sentence of the space provisional application of domestic priority under 35 United the space of the space provisional application of domestic priority under 35 United the space of the spac	ed. ed in Application No be been received in this Nationa ). es not received. J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional pecification or in an Application has been received. J.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific				
Attachment(s)	_						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)</li> </ol>	O-948) 5) 🗌 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No tice of Informal Patent Application (PT ner:					

Application Number: 10/604,932 Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

1. The drawings are objected to because reed switch 23 and power storage 38 appear to be mislabeled in Fig. 3 (see paragraphs 16 and 19, respectively). In addition, in Fig. 5, it appears that "80a" should be deleted, as it does not indicate a protrusion (see paragraph 29). Proposed drawing corrections or formal corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objections to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-5, 9-11, 13, 14 and 17-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fey, et al. in view of Nishimoto. The bicycle electrical control of Fey comprises control units, electrical signals and an electrical cord 22 substantially as claimed. It lacks detachable connectors, since there is no indication of how the cord is attached to the units. However, Nishimoto teaches the use of detachable connectors 14, 15. These connections fill a gap in the system of Fey and enable replacement of the various parts, instead of the entire system, when necessary. For this reason, it would have been obvious to use the detachable connections of Nishimoto or, the cords of Fey. In regard to claims 17, 18, 20, 21 and 24 the type of connection is seen to have been an obvious matter of choice dependent upon the desired qualities of the inventive device (which are not detailed in the specification) and those of the known connectors.
- 4. Claims 2, 6-8, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fey and Nishimoto as applied to claims 1 and 3-5 above, and further in view of Roberts. The devices of Fey further fails to specify a power source for the device, although it is clearly needed. However, Roberts is an

Application Number: 10/604,932 Page 3

Art Unit: 2833

example of the well-known use of a dynamo on a bicycle to supply power and, hence, signals to electrical devices thereon. Such an arrangement allows the user to supply the needed power and would, therefore, have been an obvious source of power on the bicycle electrical control of Fey.

5. Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The devices of Kawakami and Fry are further examples of bicycle electrical control apparatuses similar to those of the present invention.

7. Any response to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Plaza 4, Fourth Floor (Receptionist) 2201 South Clark Place, Arlington, Virginia.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Mrs. Renee Luebke whose telephone number is (703) 308-1511.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Paula Bradley, can be reached at (703) 308-2319.

Renee S. Luebke

Primary Patent Examiner

January 2, 2004